length and it was found that it is a very insignificant matter for Government to take action.

Reply of Government.—The present rule does not need to be altered.

SUBJECT No. 63.

Judicial deposits on behalf of minors.

Mr. Singri Nanjappa, Tumkur District Board.

Summary of discussion.—Amounts decreed in civil courts on behalf of minors are kept in judicial deposit and for some reason or other it so happens that it cannot be claimed for a long time. It is urged that in such cases the amounts may be transferred to the accounts of the State Savings Bank and interest allowed on the deposits for the benefit of the minors concerned.

Reply of Government.—Orders have been passed recently that if, in any case of judicial deposit belonging to minors, the court concerned desires that it should be deposited in the Government Savings Bank, the money may be received and the normal interest thereon allowed.

SUBJECT No. 64.

Copies of memoranda of appeal to be served with notices of appeal.

Mr. S. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Municipality.

Withdrawn.

SUBJECT No. 65.

Change of form of the moktyarnama.

Messrs. Amble Anniah Pandit and C. Narasimhaiya, District Board, Mysore, and Veene Rangaswami Iyengar, Seringapatam taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Lawyers are now required, when accepting a power of attorney, to certify that clients are personally known to them. As this system causes at times inconvenience both to lawyers and clients, the present certificate "personally known to me" may be replaced by "that he is the plaintiff or defendant (as the case may be)" or by some such equivalent.

Reply of Government.—The Chief Court will be consulted.

SUBJECT No. 66.

Printing of records.

Mr. V. Raghavachar, Mysore taluk.

Summary of discussion.—In appeals involving large considerations before the Chief Court, the existing rules require the records to be printed. This causes much delay and is a source of expense to the parties. It is requested that this rule be cancelled.

Reply of Government.—This is a matter within the discretion of the Chief Court.

SUBJECT No. 67.

Presentation of *interim* applications.

Mr. S. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Formerly every *interim* application in civil courts used to be given a separate number and there was no difficulty in picking it up whenever any reference thereto was required. Under the system lately introduced, numbering is not necessary for *interim* applications and much difficulty consequently arises in picking up the required references, when more than one *interim* application is received in one and the same case, because in each application, the date of the previous